UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT ATLANTA, GEORGIA

ATLANTA, GEOR	GIA
Case No.	
APPELLANT/APPEL VS.	LANT,
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENER STATE OF FLORI RESPONDENTS/APPE	RAL, DA,
On Appeal from the United State For the District of Florida Denial	
BRIEF OF APPELL	<u>ANT</u>
	Appellant, Pro Se DC#

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT ATLANTA, GEORGIA

Case No	

APPELLANT/APPELLANT,

VS.

SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF FLORIDA, RESPONDENTS/APPELLEES.

<u>CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS</u> AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Undersigned certifies that the following may have an interest in the outcome of this appeal:

STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT

The Appellant (herein referred to as "______") believes that the issue presented herein is clear on the face of the record so he does not request oral argument as the case would not benefit from same.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Certificate of Interested Persons
Statement Regarding Oral Argument
Table of Contentsii
Table of Citationsiii
Statement of Jurisdiction
Statement of the Issue
Statement of the Case
i. The Course of the Proceedings and Dispositions in the Court Below
ii. Statement of the Facts
iii. Statement of the Standard or Scope of Review
Summary of the Argument.
Argument and Citations of Authority
Insert issue as named by Circuit Court's Order Here
Conclusion
Certificate of Compliance
Certificate of Service

TABLE OF CITATIONS

Page

SUPREME COURT CASES

CIRCUIT COURT CASES

DISTRICT COURT CASES

STATE CASES

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY/RULE PROVISIONS

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This is an appeal from the District Court's denial of a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed by Appellant pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. §2254.

This Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals has jurisdiction as this is an appeal taken pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 22(b) and Title 28 U.S.C. §2253.

On _____ this Honorable Court granted Appellant's Motion for Certificate of Appealability.

Therefore, this brief is timely filed.

Appellant asserts that this appeal is from a final order denying habeas corpus relief that disposed of all parties' claims.

STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

Insert statement of issue quoted from 11th Circuit Court's Order.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

(i) The Course of the Proceedings and Dispositions in the Court Below.

Appellant presently an incarcerated individual, was originally charged by
Information on with (Doc No)
A jury trial was held on where the jury reached a verdict of guilt to
(Doc. No)
The trial court adjudicated Appellant guilty of and sentenced him
to year prison sentence. <i>Id</i> .
A direct appeal ensued wherein Appellant represented by,
raised (Doc. No) The direct appeal resulted in
, see <u>v. State</u> , So.2d (Fla DCA 2).
On Appellant filed his state postconviction motion pursuant to
Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure 3.850. (Doc. No) That motion raised
() grounds for relief including the following:
Insert claims from 3.850 here.
(State postconviction motion p) (Doc. No)

¹ Factual statements are supported by citation to the attached Appendix of Exhibits or to the Record by reference to the document number or name and page number. The U.S. District Court's case docket is appended hereto as Exhibit A.

The state trial court entered a final order denying relief on (Doc.
No) Appellant did appeal resulting in a per curiam affirmance on,
seev. State, So.2d (Fla DCA 2).
On the Appellant filed the Habeas Petition pursuant to Title 28
U.S.C. §2254. (Doc. No. 1) The Habeas Petition was supported by a
Memorandum of Law. (Doc. No, Memo) Ground of the Petition raised an
argument involving allegations of (Doc. No. 1, Petition, pp and
Memo, p)
The State was ordered to and did file a Response. (Doc. No) The State
argued that (Doc. No, Response, pp)
In his Reply to the State's Response Appellant argued that
(Doc. No, Reply, p)
The District Court adopted the State's argument and on denied
Appellant's Petition stating:
Insert from District Court's Order here.
(Doc. No, Order Denying Petition, p) The District Court incorporated a
denial of a Certificate of Appealability in its order. (Doc. No, Order pp)
On the Appellant filed his timely Notice of Appeal. (Doc. No)

On	the Clerk of the District Court transmitted the partial record
to this Court. (Exhibit	A, District Court Docket, p)
On	_ the Appellant filed his timely Motion for Certificate of
Appealability in this C	Court, along with a supporting Appendix of Exhibits.
On	this Honorable Court granted Appellant's Motion for
Certificate of Appeala	bility as to the single issue set forth in this brief.
On	_ the Clerk of this Court published the briefing schedule
providing a filing date	for Appellant's brief of
On	Appellant completed his brief and filed same.
This brief follow	vs:

(ii) Statement of the Facts

Insert facts with record citations here.

(iii) Statement of the Standard or Scope of Review

The sole issue raised in this brief is whether the District Court erred in denying the habeas petition where the state court denied the claim of ineffective assistance of counsel for ______. As claims of ineffective assistance of counsel involve mixed questions of law and fact the standard of review on federal appeal is de novo. See <u>Strickland</u>, 466 U.S. 668, 698, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 2070 (on review of a federal habeas challenge to a state criminal judgment alleging

ineffective assistance of counsel the issue is a mixed issue of law and fact so that the *de novo* standard applies); Mincey v. Head, 206 F.3d 1106, 1131 (11th Cir. 2000)("[W]e review *de novo*, however, the district court's resolution of questions of law and of mixed questions of law and fact.) and; Baty v. Balkcom, 661 F.2d 391 (5th Cir. 1981) cert. denied 456 U.S. 1011 (court reviewing denial of habeas corpus applies its own judgment to mixed legal and factual questions such as those involving ineffective assistance of counsel).

SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT

ARGUMENT AND CITATIONS OF AUTHORITY

Insert from 11th Circuit's order here.

Insert argument here.	
Therefore,	seeks a new state trial or release from confinement.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE the Appellant re	spectfully prays this Honorable Court to
vacate the state judgment and order this c	ease retried or the Appellant discharged.
Dated: this day of	
	Respectfully submitted,
	Appellant, Pro Se
\mathbf{O}^{A}	ATH .
Appellant declares under penalty	of perjury, in accordance with Title 28
U.S.C. §1746, that he has read this initial	ial brief and that the facts stated in it are
correct and true.	
	Appellant, Pro Se DC#
	Marion C.I.
	P.O. Box 158
	Lowell, FL 32663-0158

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 32(a)

This brief complies with the type	e-volume limitation of Federal Rules of
Appellate Procedure 32(a)(7)(B). This I	brief contains 6263 words, less than the
14,000 allowed for Appellate Briefs.	
	Appellant, Pro Se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true ar	d correct copy of the foregoing Brief of
Appellant has been furnished to	, Assistant Attorney General, at
by handing said document to a prison off	ficial, for mailing by pre-paid first class
U.S. mail on this day of 20	<u>.</u>
	Appellant, Pro Se
	DC# Marion C.I.
	P.O. Box 158
	Lowell, FL 32663-0158

, 20	
DC#	
Clerk of Court Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals 56 Forsyth Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303	
RE: CASE NO.:	
Dear Honorable Clerk:	
Enclosed is the following pleading for filing	ng:
Brief of the	Appellant
Please file the pleading and notify me there	eof in the SASE provided.
	Thank you,
	Appellant, Pro Se
Cc: Assistant Attorne	y General,, FL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT ATLANTA, GEORGIA

ATLANTA, GEORGIA
Case No
APPELLANT/APPELLANT,
VS.
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ATTORNEY GENERAL,
STATE OF FLORIDA, RESPONDENTS/APPELLEES.
REST STOPETOTION TELEBEES.
On Appeal from the United States District Court For the District of Florida Denial of Federal Habeas Corpus
RECORD EXCERPTS AS APPENDIX TO INITIAL BRIEF OF APPELLANT
Appellant, Pro Se DC#

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT ATLANTA, GEORGIA

Case No

APPELLANT/APPELLANT,

VS.

SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF FLORIDA, RESPONDENTS/APPELLEES.

<u>CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS</u> <u>AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</u>

Undersigned certifies that the following may have an interest in the outcome of this appeal:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

INDEX

EXHIBIT	DOCUMENT	DATE
A	U.S. District Court Clerk Docket Case No. 6:09-cv-00880-MSS-KRS	Feb. 02, 2012
В		
C		
D		
Е		
F		

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT C

EXHIBIT D

EXHIBIT E

EXHIBIT F